

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,536	01/27/2004	Koji Ohashi	325772033800	8912
75	90 05/23/2006		EXAMINER	
Barry E. Brets		LE, HUNG CHARLIE		
Morrison & Foerster LLP Suite 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1650 Tysons Bo		3663		
McLean, VA 22102			DATE MAILED: 05/23/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/764,536	OHASHI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hung C. Le	3663
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 136(a). In no event, however, may a relative size of the size of th	CATION. Poply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01/3 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matt	·
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 - 7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 - 7 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed as a specific at any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the specific as a specific at the spe	cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand otion is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in A prity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1)	4) ☐ Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/764,536 Page 2

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments, see "AMENDMENT UNDER 37 CFR 1.111", filed 01/30/2006, with respect to claims 1 - 7 have been fully considered. Upon further review of the claims, the Examiner noted that there is a plurality of distinct inventions claimed by the applicant.

Therefore, a restriction and election of species is required.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U. S. C. 121:
 - Claims 1 4, drawn to a drawing method, classified in class 72, subclass
 348.
 - Claims 5 7, drawn to a drawing die assembly, classified in class 72, subclass 379.2.
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as

Application/Control Number: 10/764,536

Art Unit: 3663

claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by

Page 3

hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and

materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus

as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process,

for example, to form any metal plate instead of the claimed converging mirror.

4. Upon election of either one of the inventions I or II above, the applicant is

further required under 35 U. S. C. 121 to elect one of the following disclosed

species of reflective curve surface (Claim 2) for prosecution on the merits to

which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claims is finally held to be

allowed (currently, claim 1 appears to be generic to Group I and claim 5

appears to be generic to Group II):

i. a spherical surface.

ii. an aspherical surface.

iii. a cylindrical surface.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though

the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the

claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,536 Page 4

Art Unit: 3663

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species

Are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify

Such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be

Obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In

Either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/764,536

Art Unit: 3663

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Hung C. Le whose telephone number is

Page 5

571-272-8757. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 07:30am -

05:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Jack W. Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878. The

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public

PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private

PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free).

HCL 05/22/06

R.J. PALABRICA

PRIMARY EXAMINER